

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/591,531	06/09/2000	Lawrence Bernard Kool	. RD-27,817	3236	
6147	7590 12/03/2003		EXAMINER		
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY GLOBAL RESEARCH CENTER			ANYA, IGWE U		
	OCKET RM. 4A59		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,	BLDG. K-1 ROSS		2825		
NISKAYU	NA, NY 12309		DATE MAILED: 12/03/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	01				
•	09/591,531	KOOL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Igwe U. Anya	2825					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ss				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commi	unication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Secondary</u>		•					
	action is non-final.		•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	••						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22,25,27-37 and 39-48</u> is/are pendir	ng in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	<u></u>						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-6,17,18,22,33-35 and 39-48</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-16,19-21,25,27-32,36 and 37</u> is/are		•					
8)☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		•				
Application Papers		•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	ge				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(or t sentence of the specification or consistence) or the specification or consistence of the specification has been reconsistence.	e) (to a provisional ap r in an Application Dat eived.	ta Sheet.				
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the	• •	•					
Attachment(s)	, -	(DTO 446) D					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) D Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-15)					
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

Terminal Disclaimer

1. The terminal disclaimer filed on September 8, 2003 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application, which would extend beyond the expiration date of application 09/771,186 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2825

4. Claims 42 to 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanai et al. (JP Patent. 3566166386) in view of Matsukawa (US Patent Number 5962145).

- 5. Kanai et al. teach a method of selectively removing lead dioxide coating on a titanium substrate by immersing in a solution of hydrosilicofluoric acid or its salt in the presence of acetic acid and hydrogen peroxide as a stabilizer for 10 to 20 hours at a bath temperature of 60 to 80 degrees C. Inherently all acids have a pH of less than 7 in pure water.
- 6. Kanai et al. lack the concentration of the acid being between 0.05 3.5M, the concentration of the additional acid being between 0.1 20M, the additional acid being a mineral acid having a pH of less than about 3.5 in pure water; and immersing the substrate in bath in the range of about 10 minutes to about 72 hours.
- 7. However, Matsukawa teaches additional acid and concentration (column 3 lines 37 65), also the additional acid being a mineral acid having a pH of less than about 3.5 in pure water, and the treatment time (column 6 table 1).
- 8. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Matsukawa into the Kanai et al. reference to etch a coating. Discovering an optimum value for a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Double Patenting

9. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the

Art Unit: 2825

unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

10. Claims 1 – 6, 17, 18, 22, 33 – 35, 39, 40, and 41 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 –6, 9, 10, 13, and 28 – 35 of copending Application No. 09/682,862. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the applications are directed to removal of a coating using a fluorometal acid.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

11. Claims 7 –16, 19 –21, 25, 27 – 32, 36, and 37 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

Remarks

12. The examiner has discovered a pending patent that has conflicting claims with some claims of instant application.

Art Unit: 2825

Contact Information

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Igwe U. Anya whose telephone number is (703) 308-3549. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Smith can be reached on (703) 308-1323. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

> Igwe U. Anya Examiner Art Unit 2825

IA

November 30, 2003

REDITION OF THE HAR BARBERY PROBLEM

JECKNO COVERENCE # 1983